Transitions between the 4 *f* -core-excited states in Ir^{16+} , Ir^{17+} , and Ir^{18+} ions for clock applications

U. I. Safronova

Physics Department, University of Nevada, Reno, Nevada 89557, USA

V. V. Flambaum

School of Physics, University of New South Wales, Sydney 2052, Australia

M. S. Safronova

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Delaware, Newark, Delaware 19716, USA and Joint Quantum Institute, NIST and the University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20899, USA (Received 5 May 2015; published 5 August 2015)

Iridium ions near 4f - 5s level crossings are the leading candidates for a new type of atomic clocks with a high projected accuracy and a very high sensitivity to the temporal variation of the fine structure constant α . To identify spectra of these ions in experiment accurate calculations of the spectra and electromagnetic transition probabilities should be performed. Properties of the 4f-core-excited states in Ir^{16+} , Ir^{17+} , and Ir^{18+} ions are evaluated using relativistic many-body perturbation theory and Hartree-Fock-relativistic method (COWAN code). We evaluate excitation energies, wavelengths, oscillator strengths, and transition rates. Our large-scale calculations included the following set of configurations: $4f^{14}5s$, $4f^{14}5p$, $4f^{13}5s^2$, $4f^{13}5p^2$, $4f^{13}5s^2p$, $4f^{12}5s^2p$, and $4f^{12}5s^2p$ in Pm-like Ir^{16+} ; $4f^{14}$, $4f^{13}5s$, $4f^{13}5p$, $4f^{12}5s^2$, $4f^{12}5s^2p$, and $4f^{12}5s^2$, $4f^{12}5p$, $4f^{11}5p^2$, $4f^{11}5s^2$, and $4f^{11}5s^2p$ in Pm-like Ir^{16+} ; $4f^{14}$, $4f^{13}5p$, $4f^{12}5p$, $4f^{12}5s^2p$ transitions are illustrated by the synthetic spectra in the 180–200 Å range. Large contributions of magnetic-dipole transitions to lifetimes of low-lying states in the region below 2.5 Ry are demonstrated.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevA.92.022501

PACS number(s): 31.15.ag, 31.15.aj, 31.15.am, 31.15.vj

I. INTRODUCTION

Selected transitions involving electron holes, i.e., vacancies in otherwise filled shells of atomic systems in highly charged ions, were shown to have frequencies within the range of optical atomic clocks, have small systematic errors in the frequency measurements, and be highly sensitive to the temporal variation of the fine structure constant α [1]. Sympathetic cooling of highly charged ions has been demonstrated in Ref. [2].

This work is motivated by these applications of highly charged ions and recent experimental work including identification of M1 transitions in Ir^{17+} spectra [2–4]. In 2015, identification of the predicted 5s - 4f level crossing optical transitions was presented by Windberger *et al.* [3]. The spectra of Nd-like W, Re, Os, Ir, and Pt ions of particular interest for tests of fine-structure constant variation were explored [3]. The authors exploited characteristic energy scalings to identify the strongest lines, confirmed the predicted 5s - 4f level crossing, and benchmarked advanced calculations.

In the present work, we have employed Hartree-Fockrelativistic method (COWAN code) and relativistic manybody perturbation theory (RMBPT) to study Ir^{16+} , Ir^{17+} , and Ir^{18+} ions. Excitation energies, wavelengths, transition rates, energies of the lower and upper level, lifetimes, and branching ratios from M1 and E1 transitions in Nd-, Pm-, and Pr-like Ir ions are evaluated. The scaling of electrostatic integrals in the COWAN code allows us to correct for correlation effects and to obtain good agreement with experimental energies. We employed a single scaling factor (0.85) for all electrostatic integrals. We have used our results to construct synthetic spectra for all three ions. Our goal was to also to investigate the general structure and level distributions in these ions. For example, we find that in the case of Ir^{18+} ion, the energies of the $4f^{13}$, $4f^{12}5s$, and $4f^{11}5s^2$ configurations are within a relatively small interval below 274 768 cm⁻¹. The first level with 5p electron, $4f^{12}5p(^{3}H)^{4}G_{11/2}$, lies substantially higher, at 387 658 cm⁻¹ which significantly affects the lifetimes of the lower states.

We also employed RMBPT method for simpler oneparticle, $4f^{14}nl$, and particle-hole configurations of Ir ions to compare with HFR results.

The values for low-lying levels are presented in the paper; a much more extensive set of results is given in the Supplemental Material [5].

II. LEVEL CROSSINGS AND 4*f* ELECTRONS IN HIGHLY CHARGE IONS

Detailed investigation of level crossings relevant to the design of optical atomic clocks with highly charged ions and search for α -variation has been carried out in Ref. [6]. It ions have been considered in Ref. [1]. Below we discuss level crossings in ions similar to the ones studied in this work.

Correlation and relativistic effects for the 4f - nl and 5p - nl multipole transitions in Er-like tungsten were investigated in Ref. [7]. Wavelengths, transition rates, and line strengths were calculated for the multipole (E1, M1, E2, M2, and E3) transitions between the excited [Cd] $4f^{13}5p^6nl$, [Cd] $4f^{14}5p^5nl$ configurations and the ground [Cd] $4f^{14}5p^6$ state in Er-like W⁶⁺ ion ([Cd] = [Kr] $4d^{10}5s^2$) using the relativistic many-body perturbation theory, including the Breit interaction.

The binding energies of the 4f, 5p, and 5s orbitals in Er-like ions [7] calculated in the Dirac-Fock approximation as a function of nuclear charge Z are plotted in Fig. 1. For better



FIG. 1. (Color online) Dirac-Fock binding energies of the 4f, 5s, and 5p orbitals as a function of Z in Er-like ions. Er is a rare earth element with Z = 68.

presentation, we scaled the energies with a factor of $(Z - 55)^2$. We find that the 4*f* orbitals are more tightly bound than the 5*p* and 5*s* orbitals at low stages of ionization, while the 5*p* and 5*s* orbitals are more tightly bound than the 4*f* orbitals for highly ionized cases. This leads to crossing of 4*f* and 5*s* and 5*p* levels for some Z leading to interesting cases of optical or near-optical transitions in selected highly charged ions. Large cancellation in binding energy values near the crossing (near Z = 74 in the example of Fig. 1) makes accurate calculations of transition energies and line strengths very difficult [7].

In Ref. [8], Safronova *et al.* reported results of *ab initio* calculation of excitation energies, oscillator strengths, transition probabilities, and lifetimes in Sm-like ions with nuclear charge Z ranging from 74 to 100. Sm has Z = 62. One of the unique atomic properties of the samarium isoelectronic sequence is that the ground state changes nine times starting from the [Kr] $4d^{10}5s^25p^64f^66s^2$ $^{1}S_0$ level for neutral samarium, Sm I, and ending with the [Kr] $4d^{10}4f^{14}5s^2$ $^{1}S_0$ level for 12 times ionized tungsten, W¹²⁺ [9].

Contributions of the 4f-core-excited states in determination of atomic properties in the promethium isoelectronic sequence with Z = 74 - 92 were discussed in Ref. [10]. Excitation energies, transition rates, and lifetimes in Pm-like tungsten were evaluated for a large number of states. The ground state for the Pm-like W¹³⁺, Re¹⁴⁺, Os¹⁵⁺, and Ir¹⁶⁺ is $4f^{13}5s^2 F_{7/2}$. For the next Pm-like ion, Pt¹⁷⁺, the $4f^{14}5s \ ^2S_{1/2}$ state becomes the ground state and continues to be the ground state for higher Z because of the 4f - 5s level crossing

III. ENERGY LEVELS

Our HFR calculations include the following set of configurations:

Ir¹⁶⁺: $4f^{14}5s$, $4f^{14}5p$, $4f^{13}5s^2$, $4f^{13}5p^2$, $4f^{13}5s5p$, $4f^{12}5s^25p$, and $4f^{12}5s5p^2$. Ir¹⁷⁺: $4f^{14}$, $4f^{13}5s$, $4f^{13}5p$, $4f^{12}5s^2$, $4f^{12}5s5p$, and $4f^{12}5p^2$. Ir¹⁸⁺: $4f^{13}$, $4f^{12}5s$, $4f^{12}5p$, $4f^{11}5s^2$, and $4f^{11}5s5p$.

In Table I, we list the limited number of excitation energies in three iridium ions of interest. The energies are given in cm^{-1} . The superscipts a and b in this and following tables are seniority numbers used to distinguish levels that have the same electronic configurations and intermediate and final terms. We note that the energy differences between the doublet $4f^{13}5s^2 {}^2F_J$ levels and the $4f^{14}5s {}^2S_{1/2}$ levels in Pm-like Ir¹⁶⁺ are very small, and the excitation energy of the $4 f^{14} 5 p^2 P_{1/2}$ is larger than the excitation energy of the $4 f^{14} 5s^{-2} S_{1/2}$ by a factor of 12. All other levels listed in the third column of Table I belong to the $4 f^{13} 5s5 p$ and $4 f^{12} 5s^2 5 p$ configurations. The first two excited states have transition frequencies to the ground state in the optical range. The energy differences between the $4f^{13}5s^{-3}F_J$ (with J = 4 and 3) levels and the $4f^{14} {}^{1}S_0$ level in Nd-like Ir¹⁷⁺ are 4000–5000 cm⁻¹ according to COWAN code. However, the uncertainties in these small energy differences may be particularly large. The excitation energies of the two other $4f^{13}5s^{-3}F_2$ and $4f^{13}5s^{-1}F_3$ levels are larger than the excitation energy of the $4 f^{13} 5s^{-3} F_3$ level by a factor of 6–7, and the corresponding transition wavelengths to the ground state are in optical range. Almost all other levels listed in the column 6 of Table I belong to the $4f^{12}5s^2$ and $4f^{12}5s5p$ configurations. Only two levels belonging to the $4f^{13}5p$ configuration have sufficiently low excitation energies to be included in our list of Nd-like Ir^{17+} levels in Table I.

The ²*F* ground state doublet splitting of the $4f^{13}5s^2$ and $4f^{13}$ configurations in Pm-like Ir¹⁶⁺ and Pr-like Ir¹⁸⁺ ions differs by only 2%. The transition from the next excited state of Ir⁺¹⁸ to the ground state is already in UV range. The first 25 low-lying levels of the Pr-like Ir¹⁸⁺ ion belong to the $4f^{12}5s$ configuration, while the other 22 levels belong to the $4f^{11}5s^2$ configuration.

IV. WAVELENGTHS, OSCILLATOR STRENGTHS, AND TRANSITION RATES

In Table II we present selected set of our results for wavelengths (λ in Å), weighted oscillator strengths (gf), and weighted transition rates (gA_r in 1/s) for transitions between the 4f-core-excited states. Only transition with the largest values of gA_r ($gA_r > 10^{12} \text{ s}^{-1}$) are given. We find that the $4f^{12}5s^25p - 4f^{12}5s^5p^2$ transitions have

We find that the $4f^{12}5s^25p - 4f^{12}5s^5p^2$ transitions have the largest values of gA_r for the Pm-like Ir¹⁶⁺. This is expected since these arise from the one-electron electric-dipole 5s - 5p transitions. The same type of the transitions are the strongest for the other two ions: the $4f^{12}5s^2 - 4f^{12}5s5p$ and $4f^{12}5s5p - 4f^{12}5p^2$ transitions for the Nd-like Ir¹⁷⁺ ion and $4f^{11}5s^2 - 4f^{11}5s5p$ transitions in the Pr-like Ir¹⁸⁺ ion. The transitions with the largest values of gA_r have wavelengths in the 187–200 Å range in Ir¹⁶⁺, 187–214 Å range in Ir¹⁷⁺, and 182–191 Å range in Ir¹⁸⁺.

V. SYNTHETIC SPECTRA

Synthetic spectra for three Ir highly charged ions are presented in Figs. 2, 3, and 4, respectively. We assume that spectral lines have the intensities proportional to the transition probabilities and are fitted with the Gaussian profile.

TABLE I. Energies (in cm⁻¹) in Pm-like Ir¹⁶⁺, Nd-like Ir¹⁷⁺, and Pr-like Ir¹⁸⁺ ions given relative to the $4f^{13}5s^2 {}^2F_{7/2}$, $4f^{13}5s {}^3F_4$, and $4f^{13} {}^2F_{7/2}$ ground states, respectively.

Conf.	Level	Energy	Conf.	Level	Energy	Conf.	Level	Energy
	Pm-like Ir ¹⁶⁺ ion		I	Nd-like Ir ¹⁷⁺ ion			Pr-like Ir ¹⁸⁺ ion	
$4f^{13}5s^2$	$({}^{2}F){}^{2}F_{7/2}$	0	$4f^{13}5s$	$({}^{2}F){}^{3}F_{4}$	0	$4f^{13}$	$({}^{2}F){}^{2}F_{7/2}$	0
$4f^{13}5s^2$	$({}^{2}F){}^{2}F_{5/2}$	25 909	$4f^{13}5s$	$({}^{2}F){}^{3}F_{3}$	4236	$4f^{13}$	$({}^{2}F){}^{2}F_{5/2}$	26 4 4 2
$4f^{14}5s$	$({}^{1}S){}^{2}S_{1/2}$	28 3 50	$4f^{14}$	$({}^{1}S){}^{1}S_{0}$	5 091	$4f^{12}5s$	$({}^{3}H){}^{4}H_{13/2}$	60 1 4 2
$4f^{13}5s5p$	$({}^{2}F){}^{4}D_{7/2}$	267 942	$4f^{13}5s$	$({}^{2}F){}^{3}F_{2}$	26174	$4f^{12}5s$	$({}^{3}H){}^{4}H_{11/2}$	67 687
$4f^{12}5s^25p$	$({}^{3}H){}^{4}G_{11/2}$	276214	$4f^{13}5s$	$({}^{2}F){}^{1}F_{3}$	30 606	$4f^{12}5s$	$({}^{3}F){}^{4}F_{9/2}$	70 096
$4f^{12}5s^25p$	$({}^{3}H)^{2}I_{13/2}$	280 511	$4f^{12}5s^2$	$({}^{3}H){}^{3}H_{6}$	33 856	$4f^{12}5s$	$({}^{1}G){}^{2}G_{7/2}$	74 664
$4f^{12}5s^25p$	$({}^{3}F){}^{4}D_{7/2}$	285 301	$4f^{12}5s^2$	$({}^{3}F){}^{3}F_{4}$	42 199	$4f^{12}5s$	$({}^{3}H){}^{4}H_{9/2}$	87 749
$4f^{13}5s5p$	$({}^{2}F){}^{4}D_{7/2}$	286 286	$4f^{12}5s^2$	$({}^{3}H){}^{3}H_{5}$	58 261	$4f^{12}5s$	$({}^{3}H){}^{2}H_{11/2}$	89 859
$4f^{12}5s^25p$	$({}^{1}G){}^{2}H_{9/2}$	287 909	$4f^{12}5s^2$	$({}^{3}F){}^{3}F_{2}$	63 696	$4f^{12}5s$	$({}^{3}F){}^{4}F_{3/2}$	92 406
$4f^{13}5s5p$	$({}^{2}F){}^{4}G_{9/2}$	288 623	$4f^{12}5s^2$	$({}^{3}H){}^{3}H_{4}$	66 2 96	$4f^{12}5s$	$({}^{3}F){}^{4}F_{5/2}$	92 940
$4f^{13}5s5p$	$({}^{2}F){}^{4}F_{5/2}$	289 959	$4f^{12}5s^2$	$({}^{3}F){}^{3}F_{3}$	68 886	$4f^{12}5s$	$({}^{3}F){}^{4}F_{7/2}$	94 508
$4f^{13}5s5p$	$({}^{2}F){}^{4}G_{5/2}$	297 128	$4f^{12}5s^2$	$({}^{3}H){}^{3}H_{4}$	89 455	$4f^{12}5s$	$({}^{1}G){}^{2}G_{9/2}$	98 486
$4f^{12}5s^25p$	$({}^{3}H){}^{4}I_{11/2}$	303 063	$4f^{12}5s^2$	$({}^{3}P){}^{3}P_{2}$	91 765	$4f^{12}5s$	$({}^{3}F){}^{2}F_{7/2}$	101 805
$4f^{12}5s^25p$	$({}^{3}H){}^{4}G_{9/2}$	303 664	$4f^{12}5s^2$	$({}^{3}P){}^{3}P_{0}$	101 073	$4f^{12}5s$	$({}^{3}F){}^{2}F_{5/2}$	102 646
$4f^{12}5s^25p$	$(^{1}D)^{2}D_{3/2}$	307 339	$4f^{12}5s^2$	$({}^{1}I){}^{1}I_{6}$	101 537	$4f^{12}5s$	$({}^{1}G){}^{2}G_{7/2}$	119 089
$4f^{12}5s^25p$	$({}^{3}F){}^{4}G_{5/2}$	307 948	$4f^{12}5s^2$	$({}^{3}P){}^{3}P_{1}$	107 843	$4f^{12}5s$	$({}^{3}P){}^{4}P_{5/2}$	122 306
$4f^{12}5s^25p$	$({}^{3}F){}^{4}G_{7/2}$	309 803	$4f^{12}5s^2$	$({}^{1}D){}^{1}D_{2}$	117 322	$4f^{12}5s$	$({}^{3}H){}^{2}H_{9/2}$	123 040
$4f^{12}5s^25p$	$({}^{3}H){}^{4}I_{9/2}$	312724	$4f^{12}5s^2$	$({}^{1}S){}^{1}S_{0}$	178 055	$4f^{12}5s$	$({}^{3}F){}^{4}F_{3/2}$	123 434
$4f^{12}5s^25p$	$({}^{3}F){}^{4}F_{5/2}$	313 871	$4f^{12}5s5p$	$({}^{3}H){}^{5}G_{6}$	312 027	$4f^{12}5s$	$({}^{3}P){}^{4}P_{1/2}$	129 563
$4f^{13}5s5p$	$({}^{2}F){}^{2}D_{5/2}^{a}$	315 471	$4f^{13}5p$	$({}^{2}F){}^{3}D_{3}$	319 802	$4f^{12}5s$	$({}^{1}I){}^{2}I_{13/2}$	132 511
$4f^{13}5s5p$	$({}^{2}F){}^{2}G^{a}_{7/2}$	317 004	$4f^{12}5s5p$	$({}^{3}F){}^{5}D_{4}$	321 722	$4f^{12}5s$	$({}^{1}I){}^{2}I_{11/2}$	132716
$4f^{12}5s^25p$	$({}^{3}F){}^{4}G_{7/2}$	317 387	$4f^{13}5p$	$({}^{2}F){}^{3}G_{4}$	322 623	$4f^{12}5s$	$({}^{3}P){}^{4}P_{3/2}$	136 659
$4f^{13}5s5p$	$({}^{2}F){}^{4}F_{3/2}$	319 123	$4f^{12}5s5p$	$({}^{3}H){}^{3}I_{7}^{b}$	333 465	$4f^{12}5s$	$({}^{3}P){}^{2}P_{1/2}$	145 476
$4f^{12}5s^{2}5p$	$({}^{3}H){}^{4}I_{9/2}$	332 682	$4f^{12}5s5p$	$({}^{3}H){}^{5}G_{5}$	333 531	$4f^{12}5s$	$({}^{1}D){}^{2}D_{5/2}$	147 492
$4f^{12}5s^25p$	$({}^{3}P){}^{4}P_{3/2}$	335 326	$4f^{12}5s5p$	$({}^{3}H){}^{5}G_{6}$	333 649	$4f^{12}5s$	$({}^{3}P){}^{2}P_{3/2}$	152 098
$4f^{12}5s^25p$	$({}^{3}F){}^{4}G_{5/2}$	337 196	$4f^{12}5s5p$	$({}^{3}H){}^{5}I_{5}$	340 286	$4f^{11}5s^2$	$({}^{4}I){}^{4}I_{15/2}$	179 577
$4f^{14}5p$	$({}^{1}S){}^{2}P_{1/2}$	337 757	$4f^{12}5s5p$	$({}^{1}G){}^{3}H_{4}$	342 157	$4f^{11}5s^2$	$({}^{4}F){}^{4}F_{9/2}$	201 648

Synthetic spectra displayed in Figs. 2–4 are constructed from the following transitions:

 $\begin{array}{l} \operatorname{Inf} \operatorname{He} : [4f^{14}5s + 4f^{13}5s5p + 4f^{12}5s5p^2] \leftrightarrow \\ [4f^{14}5p + 4f^{13}5s^2 + 4f^{12}5s^25p], \\ \operatorname{Ir}^{17+} : [4f^{14} + 4f^{13}5p + 4f^{12}5s^2] \leftrightarrow [4f^{13}5s + \\ 4f^{12}5s5p], \\ \operatorname{Ir}^{17+} : [4f^{13} + 4f^{12}5p + 4f^{11}5s^2] \leftrightarrow [4f^{12}5s + \\ 4f^{11}5s5p]. \end{array}$

Every spectrum on the left panel of the figure includes about 2000 transitions with values of $gA_r > 10^{10} \text{ s}^{-1}$. The synthetic spectra on the right panel include lines with the largest gA_r values. For example, the spectrum of Ir¹⁶⁺ displayed on the left panel of Fig. 2 includes the spectral region 140–360 Å. In the right panel of Fig. 2, we limit this region to 180–230 Å by neglecting the part of spectra with small intensity with the A_r value less than 10 in units of 10^{10}s^{-1} . The similar procedure was used for the other synthetic spectra.

Comparison of spectra at the right panels of of Figs. 2, 3, and 4 shows the similarities including the main peak, some strong lines separate from the main peak, and the second wide peak of small intensity. All strong lines are listed in Table II and Supplemental Material [5].

We note that present synthetic spectra do not take into account the population of states and are meant to illustrate the distribution on the strongest lines. In typical EBIT conditions many of the states are barely populated, making the spectra significantly less dense [11,12].

VI. MULTIPOLE TRANSITIONS, BRANCHING RATIOS, AND LIFETIMES

Wavelengths, transition rates, energies of the lower and upper level, lifetimes, and branching ratios from M1 and E1 transitions in Nd-, Pm-, and Pr-like Ir ions are presented in Table III. In order to determine the lifetimes listed in the last columns of Table III, we sum over all possible radiative transitions. The value of branching ratios for the particular transition is determined as a ratio of the respective A_r values and the sum of all possible radiative transition rates that are used to determine the lifetimes. The number of contributing transitions increases significantly for higher levels. To save space, we included only the transitions that give the largest contributions to the lifetimes and list additional transitions in the Supplemental Material [5].

We use atomic units (a.u.) to express all transition matrix elements throughout this section: the numerical values of the elementary charge, *e*, the reduced Planck constant, $\hbar = h/2\pi$, and the electron mass, m_e , are set equal to 1. The atomic unit for electric-dipole matrix element is ea_0 , where a_0 is the Bohr radius.

TABLE II. Wavelengths (λ in Å), weighted oscillator strengths (gf), weighted transition rates (gA_r in 1/s) for transitions in Pm-like Ir¹⁶⁺, Nd-like Ir¹⁷⁺, and Pr-like Ir¹⁸⁺ ions.

Conf.	Level	Conf.	Level	λ (Å)	gf	gA_r (1/s)
	$4f^{12}5s$	$^{2}5p - 4f^{12}5s5p^{2}$ trans	sitions in Pm-like Ir ¹⁶⁺	⁺ ion		
$4f^{12}5s^25p$	$({}^{1}I){}^{2}K_{15/2}$	$4f^{12}5s5p^2$	$({}^{1}I)^{2}K^{a}_{15/2}$	187.3222	12.1796	2.315[12]
$4f^{12}5s^25p$	$({}^{3}H){}^{4}I_{15/2}$	$4f^{12}5s5p^2$	$({}^{3}H){}^{4}I_{15/2}^{a}$	188.4095	7.6206	1.432[12]
$4f^{12}5s^25p$	$({}^{3}H){}^{4}I_{13/2}$	$4f^{12}5s5p^2$	$({}^{3}H){}^{4}I^{b}_{13/2}$	189.4141	10.2303	1.902[12]
$4f^{12}5s^25p$	$({}^{1}I){}^{2}H_{11/2}$	$4f^{12}5s5p^2$	$({}^{1}I)^{2}H^{a}_{11/2}$	190.2284	7.7758	1.433[12]
$4f^{12}5s^25p$	$({}^{1}G){}^{2}H_{11/2}$	$4f^{12}5s5p^2$	$({}^{3}H)^{2}I^{b}_{11/2}$	190.2870	7.6461	1.408[12]
$4f^{12}5s^25p$	$({}^{1}I){}^{2}K_{13/2}$	$4f^{12}5s5p^2$	$({}^{1}I)^{2}K^{a}_{13/2}$	190.5154	9.7403	1.790[12]
$4f^{12}5s^25p$	$({}^{1}I){}^{2}I_{13/2}$	$4f^{12}5s5p^2$	$({}^{1}I)^{2}H^{a}_{11/2}$	191.9017	6.8966	1.249[12]
$4f^{12}5s^25p$	$({}^{1}D){}^{2}F_{7/2}$	$4f^{12}5s5p^2$	$({}^{1}D)^{2}F_{7/2}^{a}$	192.6116	5.6848	1.022[12]
$4f^{12}5s^25p$	$({}^{3}H){}^{4}G_{11/2}$	$4f^{12}5s5p^2$	$({}^{3}H){}^{4}G^{b}_{11/2}$	192.9081	5.5967	1.003[12]
$4f^{12}5s^25p$	$({}^{3}H){}^{4}I_{11/2}$	$4f^{12}5s5p^2$	$({}^{3}H)^{2}I^{b}_{11/2}$	193.3102	7.1596	1.278[12]
	$4f^{12}5s^2 - 4f^{12}5s$	$5p \text{ and } 4f^{12}5s5p - 4j$	$f^{12}5p^2$ transitions in N	Id-like Ir ¹⁷⁺ ion		
$4f^{12}5s5p$	$({}^{1}I){}^{3}K_{8}$	$4f^{12}5p2$	$({}^{1}I){}^{3}K_{8}$	186.9139	5.5800	1.065[12]
$4f^{12}5s^2$	$({}^{1}I){}^{1}I_{6}$	$4f^{12}5s5p$	$({}^{1}I){}^{1}I_{6}$	187.4003	8.8646	1.684[12]
$4f^{12}5s^2$	$({}^{3}H){}^{3}H_{6}$	$4f^{12}5s5p$	$({}^{3}H){}^{3}H_{6}^{b}$	190.4460	7.6415	1.405[12]
$4f^{12}5s^2$	$({}^{3}H){}^{3}H_{4}$	$4f^{12}5s5p$	$({}^{3}H){}^{3}H_{4}^{b}$	191.2123	5.6115	1.024[12]
$4f^{12}5s^2$	$({}^{3}H){}^{3}H_{5}$	$4f^{12}5s5p$	$({}^{3}H){}^{3}I_{6}^{b}$	194.1681	7.6418	1.352[12]
$4f^{12}5s^2$	$({}^{3}H){}^{3}H_{6}$	$4f^{12}5s5p$	$({}^{3}H){}^{3}I_{7}^{a}$	194.6655	9.2353	1.625[12]
$4f^{12}5s^2$	$({}^{1}I){}^{1}I_{6}$	$4f^{12}5s5p$	$({}^{1}I){}^{1}K_{7}$	195.6155	8.9236	1.555[12]
$4f^{12}5s5p$	$({}^{1}I){}^{1}I_{6}$	$4f^{12}5p2$	$({}^{1}I){}^{1}I_{6}^{b}$	201.1890	7.8226	1.289[12]
$4f^{12}5s5p$	$({}^{1}I){}^{1}K_{7}$	$4f^{12}5p2$	$({}^{1}I){}^{3}K_{8}$	213.6590	6.9882	1.021[12]
	$4f^{11}$	$5s^2 - 4f^{11}5s5p$ transit	tions in Pr-like Ir ¹⁸⁺ io	on		
$4f^{11}5s^2$	$({}^{2}F){}^{2}F{}^{1}_{7/2}$	$4f^{11}5s5p$	$({}^{2}F){}^{2}F{}^{1}_{7/2}$	182.4907	5.6811	1.138[12]
$4f^{11}5s^2$	$({}^{2}L){}^{2}L_{15/2}$	$4f^{11}5s5p$	$({}^{2}L){}^{2}L{}^{b'}_{15/2}$	184.2146	11.5687	2.274[12]
$4f^{11}5s^2$	$({}^{2}L){}^{2}L_{17/2}$	$4f^{11}5s5p$	$({}^{2}L){}^{2}L{}^{b}{}^{17/2}$	184.3734	8.2009	1.609[12]
$4f^{11}5s^2$	$({}^{2}I){}^{2}I_{13/2}$	$4f^{11}5s5p$	$({}^{2}I){}^{2}I_{13/2}^{b}$	186.7641	7.6961	1.472[12]
$4f^{11}5s^2$	$({}^{2}G){}^{2}G{}^{2}_{7/2}$	$4f^{11}5s5p$	$({}^{2}G){}^{2}H_{9/2}^{2}$	187.6959	5.6285	1.066[12]
$4f^{11}5s^2$	$({}^{4}I){}^{4}I_{15/2}$	$4f^{11}5s5p$	$({}^{4}I){}^{4}I_{15/2}^{b}$	187.7941	10.0169	1.894[12]
$4f^{11}5s^2$	$({}^{4}I){}^{4}I_{13/2}$	$4f^{11}5s5p$	$({}^{4}I){}^{4}I{}^{b}{}^{1}_{13/2}$	187.9089	7.4924	1.415[12]
$4f^{11}5s^2$	$(^{2}H)^{2}H_{9/2}^{2}$	$4f^{11}5s5p$	$(^{2}H)^{2}I^{2}_{11/2}$	188.0677	6.8954	1.300[12]
$4f^{11}5s^2$	$(^{2}H)^{2}H_{11/2}^{1}$	$4f^{11}5s5p$	$({}^{2}I){}^{2}K_{13/2}^{b}$	188.1826	6.6946	1.261[12]
$4f^{11}5s^2$	$({}^{4}I){}^{4}I_{11/2}$	$4f^{11}5s5p$	$({}^{4}I){}^{4}I_{11/2}^{b}$	188.3409	6.1020	1.147[12]
$4f^{11}5s^2$	$({}^{4}G){}^{4}G{}_{9/2}$	$4f^{11}5s5p$	$({}^{4}I){}^{4}K{}^{b}_{11/2}$	188.5680	5.3585	1.005[12]



FIG. 2. (Color online) Synthetic spectra (red) for the $[4f^{14}5s + 4f^{13}5s5p + 4f^{12}5s5p^2] \leftrightarrow [4f^{14}5p + 4f^{13}5s^2 + 4f^{12}5s^25p]$ transitions in Pm-like Ir¹⁶⁺ as a function of wavelength. Promethium is a rare earth element with Z = 61. A resolving power, $R = E/\Delta E = 200$ and 600 (left and right), is assumed to produce a Gaussian profile (blue). The scale in the ordinate is in units of 10^{10} s^{-1} .



FIG. 3. (Color online) Synthetic spectra (red) for the $[4f^{14} + 4f^{13}5p + 4f^{12}5s^2 + 4f^{12}5p^2] \leftrightarrow [4f^{13}5s + 4f^{12}5s5p]$ transitions in Nd-like Ir¹⁷⁺ as a function of wavelength. Neodymium is a rare earth element with Z = 60. A resolving power, $R = E/\Delta E = 200$ and 800 (left and right), is assumed to produce a Gaussian profile (blue). The scale in the ordinate is in units of 10^{10} s⁻¹.

The E1 and M1 transition probabilities A_r (s⁻¹) are obtained in terms of line strengths *S* (a.u.) and wavelengths λ (Å) as

$$A(E1) = 2.02613 \times 10^{18} \frac{S(E1)}{(2J+1)\lambda^3},$$
 (1)

$$A(M1) = 2.69735 \times 10^{13} \frac{S(M1)}{(2J+1)\lambda^3}.$$
 (2)

The line strengths S(E1) and S(M1) are obtained as squares of the corresponding E1 and M1 matrix elements.

In Table III we include results for eight selected electricdipole and magnetic-mulipole transitions that are the most important for the evaluation of the corresponding lifetimes in a Pm-like Ir^{16+} ion. Results for 48 transitions contributing to the lifetimes of the 32 lowest levels are listed in the Supplemental Material [5]. Transitions with small branching ratios are omitted from the table.

The second excited state, $4f^{14}5s$ $^{2}S_{1/2}$, is metastable with an extremely long lifetime since the strongest possible

decay channels are an electric-octupole (E3) transition to the ground state, which is in the optical range, and the magnetic-quadrupole (M2) transition for the first excited level, $4f^{13}5s^2 \ ^2F_{5/2}$. These transitions are too weak to be estimated with the COWAN code, so we describe such cases in the text but omit them from Table III. There is only one such level for the Ir¹⁶⁺ and Ir¹⁶⁺ ions and two levels for the Ir¹⁷⁺ ion.

The lifetime of the first excited $4f^{13}5s^2 {}^2F_{5/2}$ state is equal to 3.71 ms with A_r equal to 269 s⁻¹. The lifetime of the third excited state is very short, 7.8 ns due to E1 allowed $4f^{13}5s^2 {}^2F_{7/2} - 4f^{13}5s5p {}^4D_{7/2}$ transition. The $4f^{12}5s^25p {}^2I_{13/2}$ excited state is metastable with 4.65 s lifetime since the strongest transition is $4f^{12}5s^25p {}^4G_{11/2} - 4f^{12}5s^25p {}^2I_{13/2}$ with small transition energy, 4298 cm⁻¹.

While some of the E1 transitions listed in Table III are strong allowed 5s - 5p transitions, a number of E1 transitions are very weak since these are forbidden transitions with nonzero amplitude due to configuration mixing. For



FIG. 4. (Color online) Synthetic spectra (red) for the $[4f^{13} + 4f^{12}5p + 4f^{11}5s^2] \leftrightarrow [4f^{12}5s + 4f^{11}5s5p]$ transitions in Pr-like Ir¹⁸⁺ as a function of wavelength. Praseodymium (Pr) is a rare earth element with Z = 59. A resolving power, $R = E/\Delta E = 200$ and 800 (left and right), is assumed to produce a Gaussian profile (blue). The scale in the ordinate is in units of 10^{10} s⁻¹.

TABLE III.	Wavelengths (λ in A), transition rates (A_r)	in s^{-1})), energies c	of the lower	and upper	level (cm ⁻¹	¹), lifetimes ((τ) , and	branching
ratios (Branch.	ratio) for M1 and E1	transitions in Pm-like	Ir ¹⁶⁺ , I	Nd-like Ir ¹⁷⁻	[⊦] , and Pr-lil	ke Ir ¹⁸⁺ ion	s.			

Uppe	Upper level		r level		Energie	s (cm ⁻¹)	λ	Α.	Branch.	
Conf.	Level	Conf.	Level		Lower	Upper	Å	1/s	ratio	τ
	Wavelength	s, transition rate	s, lifetimes, and	d branch	ing ratios fro	m M1 and E	1 transition	ns in Pm-like l	Ir ¹⁶⁺ ion	
$4f^{13}5s^2$	$({}^{2}F){}^{2}F_{5/2}$	$4f^{13}5s^2$	$({}^{2}F){}^{2}F_{7/2}$	M1	0	25 909	3860	2.69[+2]	1.00	3.71 ms
$4f^{13}5s5p$	$({}^{2}F){}^{4}D_{7/2}$	$4f^{13}5s^2$	$({}^{2}F){}^{2}F_{7/2}$	E1	0	267 942	373	1.24[+8]	0.97	7.80 ns
$4f^{12}5s^25p$	$({}^{3}H){}^{4}G_{11/2}$	$4f^{13}5s^2$	$({}^{2}F){}^{2}F_{7/2}$	E2	0	276214	362	1.19[+2]	1.00	8.37 ms
$4f^{12}5s^25p$	$({}^{3}H){}^{2}I_{13/2}$	$4f^{12}5s^25p$	$({}^{3}H){}^{4}G_{11/2}$	M1	276214	280 512	23 271	2.15[-1]	1.00	4.65 s
$4f^{13}5s5p$	$({}^{2}F){}^{4}D_{7/2}$	$4f^{13}5s^2$	$({}^{2}F){}^{2}F_{7/2}$	E1	0	286 286	349	3.54[+9]	0.98	0.278 ns
$4f^{12}5s^25p$	$({}^{3}F){}^{4}D_{7/2}$	$4f^{13}5s5p$	$({}^{2}F){}^{4}D_{7/2}$	E1	267 942	285 301	5761	2.27[+0]	0.94	0.415 s
v 1	,_	$4f^{13}5s^2$	$({}^{2}F){}^{2}F_{7/2}$	M1	0.000	285 301	351	5.20[-2]	0.02	
		$4f^{13}5s^2$	$({}^{2}F){}^{2}F_{5/2}$	M1	25.909	285 301	385	8.49[-2]	0.04	
$4f^{12}5s^25p$	$({}^{1}G){}^{2}H_{0/2}$	$4 f^{13} 5 s 5 p$	$({}^{2}F){}^{4}D_{7/2}$	E1	267 942	287 909	5008	5.75[0]	0.92	0.161 s
J Co CP	() 119/2	$4f^{13}5s^2$	$({}^{2}F){}^{2}F_{5/2}$	E2	25 909	287 909	382	1.80[-1]	0.03	
		$4f^{12}5s^25p$	$({}^{3}H){}^{4}G_{11/2}$	M1	276214	287 909	23 271	3.01[-1]	0.05	
$4 f^{13} 5 s 5 n$	$(^{2}F)^{4}C_{a}$	$4 f^{13} 5 s^2$	$({}^{2}F)^{2}F_{\pi}$	F1	0	288 623	346	4 69[+9]	1.00	0.213 ns
$4f^{13}5s5n$	$({}^{2}F){}^{4}F_{5/2}$	$4f^{13}5s^2$	$({}^{2}F){}^{2}F_{7/2}$	F1	0	289 959	345	3.48[+9]	1.00	0.213 hs
1 f 355 p	$(1) T_{5/2}$	1, 135-2	$(1) T_{1/2}$	E1	0	207 129	227	1.66[+0]	0.92	0.400
4 <i>j</i> ~ 5\$5 <i>p</i>	$(-F) G_{5/2}$	$4f^{13}5s^{-}$	$(F) F_{7/2}$	EI E1	25 000	297128	260	1.00[+9]	0.83	0.499 ns
		45 - 55-	$(-F) F_{5/2}$	EI	23 909	29/128	509	5.40[+8]	0.17	
12 -	Wavelength	s, transition rate	es, lifetimes, and $\frac{2}{3}$	d branch	ing ratios fro	om M1 and E	1 transition	is in Nd-like I	$[r^{1/+} ion]$	0.40
$4f^{13}5s$	$({}^{2}F){}^{3}F_{3}$	$4f^{13}5s$	$({}^{2}F){}^{3}F_{4}$	M1	0	4236	23610	1.18[+0]	1.00	849 ms
$4f^{13}5s$	$({}^{2}F){}^{3}F_{2}$	$4f^{13}5s$	$({}^{2}F){}^{3}F_{3}$	M1	4236	26174	4558	2.26[+2]	1.00	4.42 ms
$4f^{13}5s$	$({}^{2}F){}^{1}F_{3}$	$4f^{13}5s$	$({}^{2}F){}^{3}F_{4}$	M1	0	30 606	3267	3.05[+2]	1.00	3.27 ms
$4f^{12}5s^2$	$({}^{3}F){}^{3}F_{4}$	$4f^{13}5s$	$({}^{2}F){}^{3}F_{3}$	E1	4236	42 199	2634	6.51[+1]	0.97	14.9 ms
		$4f^{13}5s$	$({}^{2}F){}^{1}F_{3}$	E1	30 606	42 199	8626	1.79[+0]	0.03	
$4f^{12}5s^2$	$({}^{3}H){}^{3}H_{5}$	$4f^{12}5s^2$	$({}^{3}H){}^{3}H_{6}$	M1	33 855	58 261	4097	3.80[+2]	1.00	2.63 ms
$4 f^{12} 5 s^2$	$({}^{3}F){}^{3}F_{2}$	$4 f^{13} 5s$	$({}^{2}F){}^{3}F_{3}$	E1	4236	63 696	1682	2.55[+2]	0.71	2.79 ms
5		$4f^{13}5s$	$({}^{2}F){}^{1}F_{3}$	E1	30 606	63 696	3022	1.04[+2]	0.29	
$4f^{12}5s5n$	$(^{3}H)^{5}G$	$4f^{12}5s^2$	$(^{3}H)^{3}H$	F1	33,856	312026	359	265[+8]	0.97	3 68 ns
1 <i>j</i> 555 <i>p</i>	$(\Pi) O_0$	$4f^{12}5s^2$	$(^{3}H)^{3}H_{5}$	E1	58 261	312026	394	6.72[+6]	0.03	5.00 115
	Wayalangth	a transition rat	(II) II)	d bronak	ing ratios fr	om M1 and I	I transitio	na in Dr lika I	r ¹⁸⁺ ion	
1 £13	$(^{2}E)^{2}E$	Λf^{13}	$({}^{2}E){}^{2}E$	M Dranci		26 4 4 2	2792	$2.86[\pm 2]$	1 00	2 40 mg
$4f^{12}5s$	$(F) F_{5/2}$ $(^{3}H)^{4}H$	4f	$(T) F_{7/2}$	M1	60 1 4 2	20442	13 254	2.80[+2] 8.46[+0]	1.00	5.49 IIIS
$4f^{12}5s$	$(11) H_{11/2}$ $(^{3}E) {}^{4}E_{2}$	$4f^{13}$	$(11) H_{13/2}$ $(2E)^2 E_{22}$	F1	00142	70.096	13 234	5.40[+0]	1.00	0 169 ms
$4f^{12}5s$	$({}^{1}G){}^{2}G_{7}$	$4f^{12}5s$	$(^{3}F)^{4}F_{2}/_{2}$	M1	70.096	74 664	21.892	5.52[+2] 1 54[±0]	1.00	650 ms
$4f^{12}5s$	$(^{3}H)^{4}H_{0/2}$	$4f^{12}5s$	$(^{3}H)^{4}H_{11/2}$	M1	67 687	87 749	4985	2.81[+2]	1.00	3 55 ms
$4 f^{12} 5s$	$(^{3}H)^{2}H_{11/2}$	$4f^{12}5s$	$(^{3}H)^{4}H_{12/2}$	M1	60 142	89 858	3365	4.30[+2]	1.00	2.33 ms
$4 f^{12} 5s$	$({}^{3}F){}^{4}F_{5/2}$	$4f^{12}5s$	$({}^{1}G)^{2}G_{7/2}$	M1	74 664	92 939	5472	4.23[+1]	1.00	23.7 ms
$4 f^{12} 5 s$	$({}^{3}F){}^{4}F_{7/2}$	$4f^{12}5s$	$({}^{3}F){}^{4}F_{0/2}$	M1	70 096	94 507	4096	6.23[+1]	0.36	5.86 ms
J -~	× , • 1/2	1 f ¹² 5 c	$(^{1}C)^{2}C$	 M1	71661	04 507	50.20	1.01[+.0]	0.50	
$4 f^{12} 5 c$	$({}^{1}G){}^{2}G$	$4 f^{12} 5 s$	$(3F)^{4}E_{-1}$	M1	70.004	94 307	3522	1.01[+2] $1.67[\pm 2]$	0.39	5 88 mg
т <i>ј 3</i> 3	(0) 09/2	+ 5 55	(I') Г 9/2	1911	70.090	70 4 0J	5522	1.07[+2]	0.96	5.00 118

example, the A_r value of the $4f^{13}5s^2 {}^2F_{7/2} - 4f^{13}5s5p {}^4G_{9/2}$ transition is lager by nine orders of magnitude than the $4f^{13}5s5p {}^4D_{7/2} - 4f^{12}5s^25p {}^4D_{7/2}$ transition. In the first case, we have a one-electron E1-allowed 5s - 5p transition, while the second case is a strongly forbidden 4f - 5s transition. The nonzero value of the $4f^{13}5s5p {}^4D_{7/2} - 4f^{12}5s^25p {}^4D_{7/2}$ matrix element is due to configuration mixing.

In Table III we include results for 10 selected electric-dipole and magnetic-mulipole transitions that are the most important for the evaluation of the corresponding Ir¹⁷⁺ lifetimes. Results for 33 transitions contributing to the lifetimes of 13 lowest levels are listed in the Supplemental Material [5]. We also evaluated electric-quadrupole transitions; however, their contributions to lifetimes of levels given in Table III is negligible. Four of the transitions listed in Table III are M1 transitions between the states inside the $4f^{13}5s$ or $4f^{12}5s^2$ configurations. While the $4f^{13}5s - 4f^{12}5s^2$ transitions are E1-forbidden, their transition rates are nonzero due to mixing of the $4f^{13}5s$ and the $4f^{12}5s5p$ configurations. The lifetimes of most levels given in Table III are relatively long, since there are no allowed E1 transitions that may contribute to these lifetimes until the first level containing the 5p electron. The resulting lifetime of the $4f^{12}5s^2 - 4f^{12}5s5p$ E1 transitions.

	TABLE IV.	Energies (in cm	(1^{-1}) in Nd-like Ir ^{1/4}	ions given relative	to the $4f^{13}5s$	F_4 ground state.	Comparison of	f the results ca	alculated by
the	e COWAN ar	nd RMBPT code	s. The difference is	given in percent in	the last column	n.			

LSJ des	signations	Ene	ergy	Level	DIFE	
Conf.	Level	E^{COWAN}	E ^{RMBPT}	jj designations	J	%
$4f^{13}5s$	$({}^{2}F){}^{3}F_{4}$	0	0	$4f_{7/2}5s_{1/2}$	4	
$4f^{13}5s$ $4f^{14}$ $4f^{13}5s$ $4f^{13}5s$	$({}^{2}F){}^{3}F_{3}$ $({}^{1}S){}^{1}S_{0}$ $({}^{2}F){}^{3}F_{2}$ $({}^{2}F){}^{1}F_{3}$	4 236 5 091 26 174 30 606	4 129 7 055 25 447 30 374	$4 f_{5/2} 5 s_{1/2} 4 f^{14} 4 f_{7/2} 5 s_{1/2} 4 f_{5/2} 5 s_{1/2} 4 f_{5/2} 5 s_{1/2} $	3 0 3 4	2.5 -38.5 2.8 0.8
$4f^{13}5p$ $4f^{13}5p$ $4f^{13}5p$ $4f^{13}5p$ $4f^{13}5p$	$({}^{2}F){}^{3}D_{3}$ $({}^{2}F){}^{3}G_{4}$ $({}^{2}F){}^{3}G_{3}$ $({}^{2}F){}^{3}F_{2}$	319 802 322 623 346 618 352 344	316 065 319 341 341 890 348 344	$\begin{array}{c} 4f_{7/2}5p_{1/2} \\ 4f_{7/2}5p_{1/2} \\ 4f_{5/2}5p_{1/2} \\ 4f_{5/2}5p_{1/2} \\ 4f_{5/2}5p_{1/2} \end{array}$	3 4 3 2	1.2 1.0 1.4 1.1
$\begin{array}{c} 4f^{13}5p \\ 4f^{13}5p \\ 4f^{13}5p \\ 4f^{13}5p \\ 4f^{13}5p \end{array}$	$({}^{2}F){}^{3}G_{5}$ $({}^{2}F){}^{3}D_{2}$ $({}^{2}F){}^{1}F_{3}$ $({}^{2}F){}^{3}F_{4}$	482 729 485 701 491 623 497 788	478 806 481 145 488 430 494 305	$4 f_{7/2} 5 p_{3/2} \ 4 f_{7/2} 5 p_{3/2}$	5 2 3 4	0.8 0.9 0.6 0.7
$\begin{array}{c} 4f^{13}5p \\ 4f^{13}5p \\ 4f^{13}5p \\ 4f^{13}5p \\ 4f^{13}5p \end{array}$	$({}^{2}F) {}^{3}D_{1}$ $({}^{2}F) {}^{3}G_{4}$ $({}^{2}F) {}^{3}F_{2}$ $({}^{2}F) {}^{3}F_{3}$	502 142 512 504 519 370 523 054	497 907 507 690 516 116 518 658	$\begin{array}{c} 4f_{5/2}5p_{3/2}\\ 4f_{5/2}5p_{3/2}\\ 4f_{5/2}5p_{3/2}\\ 4f_{5/2}5p_{3/2}\\ 4f_{5/2}5p_{3/2}\end{array}$	1 4 2 3	0.8 0.9 0.6 0.8

Two Ir¹⁷⁺ levels, $4f^{14} S_0$ and $4f^{12}5s^2 {}^{3}H_6$, are extremely long lived, making them potential candidates for the atomic clock scheme implementation. There is only an extremely weak electric-octupole transition to the $4f^{13}5s ({}^{2}F){}^{3}F_3$ state contributing to the ${}^{1}S_0$ lifetime. The $4f^{12}5s^2 {}^{3}H_6$ lifetime is determined by the two E3 and one M2 channels: $4f^{13}5s {}^{3}F_3 - 4f^{12}5s^2 {}^{3}H_6$, $4f^{13}5s {}^{1}F_3 - 4f^{12}5s^2 {}^{3}H_6$, and $4f^{13}5s {}^{3}F_4 - 4f^{12}5s^2 {}^{3}H_6$.

In Table III we include results for 10 selected electric-dipole and magnetic-multipole transitions that are most important for the evaluation of the corresponding lifetimes in Pr-like Ir¹⁸⁺ ion. Results for 51 transitions contributing to the lifetimes of 29 lowest levels are listed in the Supplemental Material [5]. Almost all transitions in Table III are magnetic-dipole transitions. Only the last two lines include E1 forbidden transitions between $4f^{12}5s$ and $4f^{11}5s^2$ configurations. List of levels for the Pr-like Ir¹⁸⁺ ion in Table I covers the energy interval from the ground state up to 274 768 cm⁻¹. The first level with the 5p electron ($4f^{12}5p$ ${}^4G_{11/2}$ level) has energy equal to 387 658 cm⁻¹. As a result, there are no electric-dipole allowed transitions in Table III.

The only extremely long-lived metastable level in Ir⁺¹⁸ ion is $4f^{12}5s \ ^{4}H_{13/2}$ third excited state with $E = 60142 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. This state can decay only via very weak electric-octupole transition to the ground state, which is in the UV range.

The next longest lifetime, 8100 s, is for the $4f^{12}5s^{2}I_{11/2}$ level due to a very small, 205 cm⁻¹, energy difference in the $4f^{12}5s^{2}I_{13/2}-4f^{12}5s^{2}I_{11/2}$ transition. The $4f^{11}5s^{2}^{2}I_{13/2}$ level has a short lifetime due to the contribution of two E1 transitions via level mixing with branching ratios equal to 62% and 38%.

Dominant contribution to the $4f^{12}5s {}^{4}F_{9/2}$ lifetime is from the E1-forbidden transition to the $4f^{13} {}^{2}F_{7/2}$ state, which is nonzero due to the 5% mixing between the $4f^{13}$ and $4f^{12}5p$ configurations.

We did not find any theoretical or experimental results to compare with our A_r and τ values for the low-lying states listed in Table III.

VII. COMPARISONS, UNCERTAINTY ESTIMATES, AND CONCLUSION

In Table IV we compare energies in Nd-like Ir^{17+} ion calculated using the COWAN and RMBPT codes. Details of the RMBPT code for the hole-particle systems were presented by Safronova *et al.* [13]. We use a complete set of Dirac-Fock (DF) wave functions on a nonlinear grid generated using B-splines [14] constrained to a spherical cavity. The basis set consists of 50 splines of order 9 for each value of the relativistic angular quantum number κ . The starting point for

TABLE V. Wavelengths (λ in Å), weighted oscillator strengths (gf), weighted transition rates (gA_r in 1/s) for the $4f^{14}5s - 4f^{14}5p_j$ transitions in Pm-like Ir¹⁶⁺. Comparison of the results evaluated using the first-order RMBPT1, second-order RMBPT2, and the COWAN codes. Numbers in brackets represent powers of 10.

	RMBPT1	RMBPT2	COWAN	
	$4f^{14}$	$5s - 4f^{14}5p_{1/2}$ tran	sition	
$\Delta E \text{ (cm}^{-1})$	312 299	313 974	309 407	
λ (Å)	320.31	318.50	323.20	
gf	0.5249	0.3809	0.3308	
gA_r (1/s)	3.413[10]	2.522[10]	2.112[10]	
	$4f^{14}$	$5s - 4f^{14}5p_{3/2}$ tran	sition	
$\Delta E \ (\mathrm{cm}^{-1})$	469 050	472710	469 871	
λ (Å)	213.20	211.55	212.82	
gf	1.6045	1.1754	1.4855	
gA_r (1/s)	2.355[11]	1.752[11]	2.187[11]	

TABLE VI.	Comparison	of the energies (in cm^{-1}) in (Nd-like Ir ¹⁷⁺	ion given re	lative to the 4	$f^{13}5s^{-3}F$	4 ground state	obtained in	different
approximations	[1,3].									

		Energies	(cm^{-1})	Diff.	Energie	Diff.	
Conf.	Level	COWAN	CI [1]	%	FSCC [3]	CIDFS [3]	%
$4f^{13}5s$	$({}^{2}F){}^{3}F_{4}$	0	0				
$4f^{13}5s$	$({}^{2}F){}^{3}F_{3}$	4 2 3 6	4838	12%	4662	4 872	4%
$4f^{13}5s$	$({}^{2}F){}^{3}F_{2}$	26174	26 272	0%	25 1 56	25 044	0%
$4f^{13}5s$	$({}^{2}F){}^{1}F_{3}$	30 606	31 492	3%	30 197	30 552	1%
$4f^{14}$	$({}^{1}S){}^{1}S_{0}$	5 091	5 0 5 5	-1%	13 599	7 025	-94%
$4 f^{12} 5 s^2$	$({}^{3}H){}^{3}H_{6}$	33 856	35 285	4%	24 221	29 367	18%
$4f^{12}5s^2$	$({}^{3}F){}^{3}F_{4}$	42 199	45 214	7%	33 545	38 295	12%
$4f^{12}5s^2$	$({}^{3}H){}^{3}H_{5}$	58 261	59727	2%	47 683	52 668	9%
$4f^{12}5s^2$	$({}^{3}F){}^{3}F_{2}$	63 696	68 538	7%	55 007	60 322	9%
$4f^{12}5s^2$	$({}^{3}H){}^{1}G_{4}$	66 2 96	68 885	4%	56217	60 943	8%
$4f^{12}5s^2$	$({}^{3}F){}^{3}F_{3}$	68 886	71917	4%	58 806	63 847	8%
$4f^{12}5s^2$	$({}^{3}H){}^{3}H_{4}$	89 455	92 224	3%	78 534	82 954	5%
$4f^{12}5s^2$	$({}^{3}P){}^{1}D_{2}$	91 765	98 067	6%	82 422	88 261	7%
$4f^{12}5s^2$	$({}^{1}I){}^{1}I_{6}$	101 537	110 065	8%	93 867	101 844	8%
$4f^{12}5s^2$	$({}^{3}P){}^{3}P_{0}$	101 073	110717	9%	94 012	99617	6%
$4f^{12}5s^2$	$({}^{3}P){}^{3}P_{1}$	107 843	116372	7%	99416	105 989	6%
$4f^{12}5s^2$	$({}^{1}D){}^{3}P_{2}$	117 322			107 489	113 272	5%
$4f^{12}5s^2$	$({}^{1}S){}^{1}S_{0}$	178 055			174 893	185 757	6%

the RMBPT code is the *frozen core* DF approximation. We use the second-order RMBPT to determine energies of the $4f^{13}5s$ states relative to the $4f^{14}$ state in an Nd-like Ir¹⁷⁺ ion.

The *jj* designation $(4f_{7/2}5s_{1/2}, \text{ for example})$ listed in Table IV means that we consider the system with a $4 f_{7/2}$ hole in $4f^{14}$ core and the $5s_{1/2}$ particle. The LS designation is given in columns 1 and 2 of Table IV. The results with the same configuration and J are compared. The difference of RMBPT and COWAN data given in the last column of Table IV is less than 1% for most levels. The difference exceeds 3% only for the $4 f^{14}$ level. This is the only level in the table that has a different number of 4 f electrons in comparison with the ground state. The correlation correction is very large for the 4f state leading to this difference. For example, the calculation of the $4 f_{7/2} 5 s_{1/2}$ J = 4 ground state energy gives 5447 cm⁻¹ in the lowest order DF approximation, while the second order value is almost five times larger, $-23\,997$ cm⁻¹. Adding the 4577 cm⁻¹ QED and 6888 cm^{-1} Breit corrections gives -7055 cm^{-1} relative to the frozen-core $4f^{14}$ level.

In Table V we compare wavelengths, weighted oscillator strengths, and weighted transition rates for the $4f^{14}5s - 4f^{14}5p$ transitions in Pm-like Ir¹⁶⁺ evaluated using the first-order RMBPT1, second-order RMBPT2 [13], and COWAN codes. The COWAN code energy values are in somewhat better agreement with the first-order RMBPT results. The difference of RMBPT and COWAN code energies and wavelength is small, 0.18%–1.5%, for wavelengths and energies of the $4f^{14}5s - 4f^{14}5p$.

The differences are larger, 7%–27%, for the oscillator strength and transition rates. We find large effects of the second order corrections for these properties leading to larger differences in the results. In summary, the second-order correlation corrections are very important for accurate determination of the oscillator strengths and transition rates.

Energies (in cm⁻¹) in the Nd-like Ir¹⁷⁺ ion relative to the $4f^{13}5s^{-3}F_4$ ground state obtained by the COWAN code are compared with results from Ref. [1] and [3] in Table VI. Results in Ref. [1] were obtained using configuration-interaction (CI) approach. Results in Ref. [3] were obtained using the multireference Fock space coupled cluster (FSCC) method and configuration-interaction-Dirac-Fock-Sturmian (CIDFS) method. COWAN results were also presented in Ref. [3]. The largest difference (12%) between the COWAN and CI [1] results is for the first excited state. For other levels, the difference is about 3%–4%. The largest difference (94%) between results in Ref. [3], evaluated by the FSCC and CIDFS methods, are for the $4f^{14-1}S_0$ level. For other levels, the difference is about 5%–9%.

Comparison of the present results with theoretical [1,3] and experimental [3] transition energies (in cm^{-1}) for M1 transitions in the Nd-like Ir^{17+} ion are given in Table VII. In Ref. [3], improved accuracy measurements were performed for the Ir¹⁷⁺ transitions by repeating a calibration-measurementcalibration cycle five times at one grating position. Each cycle takes 15-30 min. The transition energy was determined by averaging the line centroids in typically 30 of such acquired spectra. Results are given for the M1 transitions between the states of the $4f^{13}5s$ and $4f^{12}5s^2$ configurations. The differences between the experimental results and theoretical values obtained by the COWAN code, CI [1], FSCC, and CIDFS [3] are given in percent. The largest difference between the experimental values [3] and the COWAN code results is 6%, while the difference is twice as large for CI values [1]. The differences between the FSCC [3] and CIDFS [3] results and experiment [3] are 0%-2% and 0%-5%, respectively.

One of the main challenges in implementation of the clock schemes with highly charged ions will be experimental identification of the very narrow line clock transitions as well

Conf.	Transition	Energy Expt. [3]	Energy COWAN	Diff. %	Energy CI [1]	Diff. %	Energy FSCC [3]	Diff. %	Energy CIDFS [3]	Diff. %
$4f^{13}5s$	${}^{3}F_{2} - {}^{3}F_{3}$	20710.83	21 938	-6%	21 434	-3%	20 494	1%	20172	3%
$4f^{12}5s^2$	${}^{3}H_{4} - {}^{1}G_{4}$	22 430.03	23 1 59	-3%	23 339	-4%	22317	1%	22 01 1	2%
$4f^{12}5s^2$	${}^{1}G_{4} - {}^{3}F_{4}$	22 948.54	24 097	-5%	23 671	-3%	22 672	1%	22 648	1%
$4f^{12}5s^2$	${}^{1}D_{2} - {}^{3}F_{3}$	23 162.84	22879	1%	26150	-13%	23 616	-2%	24414	-5%
$4f^{12}5s^2$	${}^{3}H_{5} - {}^{3}H_{6}$	23 639.87	24 405	-3%	24 442	-3%	23 462	1%	23 301	1%
$4f^{12}5s^2$	${}^{3}F_{3} - {}^{3}F_{4}$	25 514.56	26687	-5%	26703	-5%	25 261	1%	25 552	0%
$4f^{12}5s^2$	${}^{1}D_{2} - {}^{3}F_{2}$	27 387.06	28 069	-2%	29 529	-8%	27 415	0%	27 939	-2%
$4f^{13}5s$	${}^{1}F_{3} - {}^{3}F_{4}$	30 358.45	30 606	-1%	31 492	-4%	30 197	1%	30 552	-1%
$4f^{12}5s^2$	${}^{3}H_{4} - {}^{3}H_{5}$	30797.17	31 194	-1%	32 497	-6%	30 851	0%	30 286	2%

TABLE VII. Comparison of the present results with theoretical [1,3] and experimental [3] transition energies (in cm^{-1}) for M1 transitions in an Nd-like Ir¹⁷⁺ ion. Differences between experimental and theoretical results are given in percent for all theoretical values.

as other transitions that may be used for cooling. Spectra identification is the first step towards this goal. We find that COWAN code results are in very good agreement with experiment, 1%-6% for M1 transition energies. COWAN code results are in better agreement with experiment for the measured transitions than the CI calculations and in general give accuracy similar to the CIDFS. However, the experimental data for the even-to-odd transition energies are not yet available, and lack of other benchmarks does not allow us to evaluate the accuracy for these quantities even for the most elaborate coupled-cluster FSCC method. When such measurements will become available, the accuracy of the COWAN code for the Ir¹⁷⁺ clock transition could be established, both yielding important benchmarks for other highly charged ion systems and allowing us to further improve Ir¹⁷⁺ predictions for yet unmeasured transitions.

In summary, we carried out a systematic study of excitation energies, wavelengths, oscillator strengths, and transition rates in Ir^{16+} , Ir^{17+} , and Ir^{18+} ions. Synthetic spectra are constructed for all three ions, with the strongest line regions presented

in more detailed in a separate panel. Metastable states and importance of the M1 transitions for the determination of the lifetimes are discussed. Comparison of the energy values with other theoretical predictions is given. Transition wavelengths are compared with experiment [3] and other theory. We did not find any other theoretical results of oscillator strength and transitions rates in the ions considered in the present study. We believe that our predictions will be useful for planning and analyzing future experiments with highly charged ions.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank H. Bekker, A. Windberger, and J. R. Crespo López-Urrutia for discussions and comments on the paper. M.S.S. thanks the School of Physics at UNSW, Sydney, Australia and MPIK, Heidelberg, Germany, for hospitality and acknowledges support from the Gordon Godfrey Fellowship program, UNSW. This work was supported in part by U.S. NSF Grant No. PHY-1404156 and the Australian Research Council.

- J. C. Berengut, V. A. Dzuba, V. V. Flambaum, and A. Ong, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 210802 (2011).
- [2] L. Schmöger, O. O. Versolato, M. Schwarz, M. Kohnen, A. Windberger, B. Piest, S. Feuchtenbeiner, J. Pedregosa-Gutierrez, T. Leopold, P. Micke *et al.*, Science **347**, 1233 (2015).
- [3] A. Windberger, J. R. Crespo López-Urrutia, H. Bekker, N. S. Oreshkina, J. C. Berengut, V. Bock, A. Borschevsky, V. A. Dzuba, E. Eliav, Z. Harman *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 150801 (2015).
- [4] H. Bekker, O. O. Versolato, A. Windberger, N. S. Oreshkina, R. Schupp, T. M. Baumann, Z. Harman, C. H. Keitel, P. O. Schmidt, J. Ullrich, and J. R. Crespo López-Urrutia, J. Phys. B 48, 144018 (2015).
- [5] See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/supplemental/ 10.1103/PhysRevA.92.022501 for tabulation of excitation energies, wavelengths, transition rates, energies of the lower and upper level, lifetimes, and branching ratios from M1 and E1 transitions in Nd-, Pm-, and Pr-like Ir ions.

- [6] J. C. Berengut, V. A. Dzuba, V. V. Flambaum, and A. Ong, Phys. Rev. A 86, 022517 (2012).
- [7] U. I. Safronova and A. S. Safronova, Phys. Rev. A 84, 012511 (2011).
- [8] U. I. Safronova, A. S. Safronova, and P. Beiersdorfer, Phys. Rev. A 87, 032508 (2013).
- [9] A. Kramida, Yu. Ralchenko, J. Reader, and NIST ASD Team, NIST Atomic Spectra Database (version 5.0), http://physics.nist.gov/asd (2012).
- [10] U. I. Safronova, A. S. Safronova, and P. Beiersdorfer, Phys. Rev. A 88, 032512 (2013).
- [11] Y. Kobayashi, D. Kato, H. A. Sakaue, I. Murakami, and N. Nakamura, Phys. Rev. A 89, 010501(R) (2014).
- [12] H. Bekker (private communication).
- [13] U. I. Safronova, W. R. Johnson, and J. R. Albritton, Phys. Rev. A 62, 052505 (2000).
- [14] W. R. Johnson, S. A. Blundell, and J. Sapirstein, Phys. Rev. A 37, 307 (1988).